



Decision Framework for Incorporation of Sustainability into Army Environmental Remediation Projects

Carol Lee Dona, Ph.D., P.E.
Michael M. Bailey, Ph.D., P.G.
US Army Corps of Engineers
Environmental and Munitions Center of Expertise
Omaha, NE

maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	lection of information is estimated to ompleting and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding ar OMB control number.	ion of information. Send comments a arters Services, Directorate for Infor	regarding this burden estimate of mation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the 1215 Jefferson Davis	is collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington		
1. REPORT DATE MAY 2009		2. REPORT TYPE		3. DATES COVERED 00-00-2009 to 00-00-2009			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT	NUMBER		
Decision Framework for Incorporation of Sustainability into Army Environmental Remediation Projects				5b. GRANT NUMBER			
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER			
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
				5e. TASK NUMBER			
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER			
US Army Corps of	ZATION NAME(S) AND AD Engineers,Environi pitol Avenue,Omaha	mental and Munitio	ns Center of	8. PERFORMING REPORT NUMB	GORGANIZATION ER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)				10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
				11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT NUMBER(S)			
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAIL Approved for publ	LABILITY STATEMENT ic release; distributi	on unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO Presented at the Ni held 4-7 May 2009	DIA Environment, I	Energy Security & S	ustainability (E2	S2) Symposi	um & Exhibition		
14. ABSTRACT							
15. SUBJECT TERMS							
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:			17. LIMITATION OF	18. NUMBER	19a. NAME OF		
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT unclassified	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	OF PAGES RESPONSIBLE PERSO 27			

Report Documentation Page

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188





Overview of Presentation

- Definitions of Sustainable/Green Remediation
- Background and Structure of Army Decision Framework
- When and How
- Incorporation Strategies Current and Future
- Path Forward Corps/Army/DOD





Definition – Green Remediation

The practice of considering all environmental effects of a remediation strategy (i.e., the remedy selected and the implementation approach) early in the process, and incorporating options to maximize the overall environmental benefit of cleanup actions (US EPA)





Army Sustainability (from Army Strategy for the Environment 1-Oct-04)

- Definition of Sustainability (from Army Strategy for the Environment 1-Oct-04): A strategy that "simultaneously meets current as well as future mission requirements world-wide, safeguards human health, improves quality of life, and enhances the natural environment"
- Green remediation is part of the larger picture of incorporating sustainability into Army environmental remediation, with sustainability one of the decision variables





USACE Decision Framework

- Purpose: To provide a roadmap for Army project teams and contractors to
 - Consider
 - Incorporate
 - Document

sustainable practices throughout the cleanup process





Decision Framework Structure

- Decision flow chart, step by step directions and references.
- Starts with existing platforms that are inherently sustainable
- Covers complete remediation process
 - Planning
 - Investigation
 - Remedy Selection
 - Remedy Implementation
 - Remedy Operation and Maintenance
 - Site Closeout





Platforms

- Planning Technical Project Planning (TPP)
- Investigation- TRIAD
- Remedy Selection National Contingency Plan (NCP)
- Remedy Design Predesign/Value Engineering Study Checklists
- Remedy Construction Green Remediation Best Management Practices
- Remedy Operation and Maintenance Remedial System Evaluations
- Site Closeout Army Waste Minimization Policy and Guidance





TPP – Project Direction

- Inherent sustainability in TPP
 - Project planning through site closeout, including site reuse
 - Inclusion of all stakeholders
- Core TPP Questions: Can/Should Sustainability Be Incorporated?
 - Contract allows?
 - Staffing adequate?
 - Funding available?
 - Schedule allows?
 - Scope justifies?





Contract Considerations – Can Sustainability Be Incorporated

Contract type	Existing	Future
Fixed Price	Yes	Yes
Cost Reimbursement	Yes	Yes
Performance Based	Cost –effective sustainability measures, i.e. energy conservation	Yes





Contractual Mechanisms — How to Make Sustainability Performance-Based

- Performance incentive a percentage of contract
- In proposal submittals, contractor
 - Identifies resources for sustainability incorporation
 - The amount each resource will be incorporated
 - The weighting for each resource within the overall incentive
- Contract awarded on technical merit, sustainability incorporation one evaluation criteria
- Contractor awarded portion of incentive based on % of sustainability actually incorporated.





Example

- Identification of resources and sustainability incorporation in proposal
 - Water 30% use of non-potable water
 - Energy 30% renewable energy
 - Waste minimization 65% diversion

Incentive calculation

- 0.25 water + 0.5 energy + 0.25 waste (weighting factors could be supplied by the government in SOW)
- Assume contractor achieves 20% non-potable water use, 25% total energy renewable, 50% waste diversion
- % incentive awarded= 78%





TPP - Can Sustainability Be Incorporated?

Adequate Funds?

- Minimal funds in identification (review of contractor proposal)
- Can use option for implementation if funds uncertain
- On-going projects cost effective procedures can be suggested

Adequate Staffing?

- Knowledge and time to review technical merit of sustainability in proposal
- Oversight of sustainability implementation and approval of performance
 part of general contract oversight

Schedule allows?

- New contracts no expected schedule limitations as sustainability incorporated into proposal submittal process.
- If on-going, may be limited cost effective measures can still be incorporated





TPP - Should Sustainability Be Incorporated?

- Does scope of project merit a sustainability evaluation?
 - Small?
 - Routine?
- Even if small or routine, can incorporate sustainability through rules of thumb. Examples:
 - Energy-saving sheds
 - Reuse of equipment/buildings
 - Use of native plants for landscaping
 - Recycling





TPP – How to Incorporate Sustainability

- Site information worksheet Identify needs specific to sustainability
- Examples:
 - Reuse of existing equipment/buildings?
 - In-situ technologies data collection, both active and passive
 - Design optimization?
 - Operation and maintenance optimization?
- Needs translated to data needs worksheets (risk, remedy, compliance, and responsibility)





Site Investigation - TRIAD

- Inherent sustainability in TRIAD
 - Decrease in uncertainty through better characterization- remedy more efficient
 - Use of real-time field investigative tools less energy intensive, less waste
- Current recommended approach use of TRIAD with additional questions to consider sustainability in performing the site investigation
 - Passive sampling devices?
 - Drive point devices vs. permanent wells?
 - Additional data necessary for evaluation of sustainable technologies?
- Future approach use of site investigation sustainability tools to directly compare investigation options





Remedy Selection - NCP Criteria

- Current recommended approach incorporate sustainability into nine criteria
 - Air emissions- human health and environment
 - Human work risk short term effectiveness
 - Implementability and Cost use of natural resources (water, energy sources)
 - Public opinion green spaces, contribution to community
- Additions not currently regulated
- Future approach- technology sustainability evaluation tool (tools in development- waiting for one that is standard, complete, available, affordable)





Remedy Implementation: Design – Pre-design and Value Engineering Design Checklists

- Independent review checklists can include many sustainability aspects
 - Recycling
 - Use of existing infrastructures and materials
 - Enhancement of remedies to promote ecological well-being
 - Reduced resource consumption
 - Life-cycle costs
- Current recommended approach include checklists in project planning and contracts, consider VE study
- Future approach: Augmentation of check lists/VE study with additional sustainability aspects





Remedy Implementation – Construction Green Remediation Best Management Practices

- Reference EPA Green Remediation Primer and State of Illinois: Greener Cleanups Tool: How to Maximize the Environmental Benefits of Site Remediation
- Examples:
 - Capture/reuse grey water
 - Impose restrictions on idling of machinery





Operation and Maintenance - Remediation System Evaluations

- Include many sustainability aspects
 - Cost/risk reduction
 - Reduction of site close-out time
 - Optimization of equipment operation and maintenance
 - Reduced resource (energy, water) consumption
- Current recommended approach incorporate RSE or equivalent process into the site remediation process
- Future approach RSE checklist revised to include additional sustainability aspects (air emissions, renewable energy).





Site Closeout

- Army policy (USACE Engineering and Construction Bulletin No. 2008-14, April 2008) – Minimum of 50% waste diversion – studies show this amount is cost effective.
- Current recommended approach use Army criteria as minimum
- Future approach
 - Site specific evaluation more waste may be diverted
 - Incorporate green space, ecologically friendly practices, community reuse of site





Summary – Recommended Current Approach

- Use of existing Army platforms to incorporate significant sustainability
- Augmentation of platforms with specific sustainability "rules of thumb".
- Piloting sustainability evaluation tools; waiting for fully-developed, available tool for stand-alone evaluation of remedial options on sustainability.





Future Approach

- Use newly developed sustainability evaluation tools.
- Score remedial options using modified EMS evaluation factors.
 - Mission Impact
 - Regulatory Impact
 - Environmental Impact (Sustainability)
 - Community Response





Future Approach Modified EMS Scoring Matrix Use on Any Remedial Phase to Compare Options

- Mission Impact, Regulatory Impact Threshold criteria (need to meet to continue consideration)
- Community Response and Environmental Impact (Sustainability) Balancing criteria
- Different weighting factors for each criteria can be used to represent relative importance.

	Rating Scale		Activity Level				
Threshold Criteria			High	Medium	Low	Rare	
			4	3	2	1	
Mission Impact	Enhances mission	4	16	12	8	4	
	Compatible with mission	3	12	9	6	3	
	Neutral	2	8	6	4	2	
	Some obstacles	1	4	3	2	1	
	Significant negative impact	0	0	0	0	0	
Regualtory Impact	No regulatory constraint	4	16	12	8	4	
	Preferred regulatory practice	3	12	9	6	3	
	Accepted regulatory practice	2	8	6	4	2	
	To be regulated	1	4	3	2	1	
	Not permitted	0	0	0	0	0	
	High			Low			
	Medium			Do not use			

	Rating Scale		Activity Level			
Balancing Criteria			High	Medium	Low	Rare
			4	3	2	1
Community Response	Incentives for inclusion	4	16	12	8	4
	Strongly in favor	Э	12	9	6	3
	Mildly in favor	2	8	6	4	2
	No interest	1	4	3	2	1
	Against	0	0	0	0	0
Environmental Impact	High, beneficial	4	16	12	8	4
	Moderate, beneficial	3	12	9	6	3
	Low	2	8	6	4	2
	No impact	1	4	3	2	1
	Significant negative impact	0	0	0	0	0
	High			Low		
	Medium			Consider not using		





Path Forward – Decision Framework

- Draft decision framework completed March 2009, in internal EM-CX review.
- Peer and Corps/Army Headquarters review, June 2009; finalization of decision framework December 2009.





Path Forward - DOD/Army/Corps

- DUSD(I&E)/EM developing a policy memo encourages Military Components to incorporate green/sustainable remediation in current and future remedial activities
- Army HQ supporting OSD in developing comprehensive DoD green/sustainable remediation policy; will head development of any Army-specific guidance
- Corps collaborating with Army HQ to incorporate decision framework into Army guidance and implement across Army cleanup sites
- Corps collaborating with Army Environmental Center in piloting optimization of current remedial systems including sustainability.





Path Forward - DOD/Army/Corps cont

- Corps developing joint contract template language with AEC.
- Corps revising independent review design/RSE checklists to identify existing sustainable practices and augmenting with additional practices
- Corps and Army working with other Federal agencies through the Federal Remediation Technology Roundtable Green Remediation Sub Committee in information sharing and joint tool development.





Questions

 Contact Carol Lee Dona at (402) 697-2582, carol.l.dona@usace.army.mil